Appendix FF No. 2

O. 58, r. 18(1)

SUPREME COURT Respondent's Notice



Supreme Court record number 2016:000029							
[Title and record number as per the High Court proceedings]							
Brian O'Donnell and Mary Patricia O'Donnell		V	Christopher Lehane and The Governor and Company of the Bank of Ireland				
Record Numbers 2012/2479 and 2012/2480							
Date of filing	23 rd March 2016						
Name of respondent	Christopher Lehane as Official Assignee in Bankruptcy and the Governor and Company of the Bank of Ireland						
Respondent's solicitors	O'Gradys Solicitors on behalf of Christopher Lehane. Arthur Cox on behalf of The Governor and Company of the Bank of Ireland.						
Name of appellants	Brian O'Donnell and Mary Patricia O'Donnell						
Appellants' solicitors	pellants' solicitors In person						
1. Respondent Details Where there are two or provide relevant details			hose behalf this notice is being filed pleas	se also			
Respondent's full name Christopher Lehane as Official Assignee in Bankruptcy							
The respondent was served with the application for leave to appeal and notice of appeal on date 11th March 2016							
				ſ			
The respondent intends: to oppose the application for an extension of time to apply for leave to appeal							
not to oppose the application for an extension of time to apply for leave to appeal							
X to oppose the application for leave to appeal							
not to oppose the application for leave to appeal							
X to ask the Supren	ne Court to dismiss th	іе арреа					
to ask the Supreme Court to affirm the decision of the Court of Appeal or the High Court on grounds other than those set out in the decision of the Court of Appeal or the High Court							
Other (please specify)							

If the details of the respondent's representation are correct and complete on the notice of appeal, tick the following box and leave the remainder of this section blank; otherwise complete the remainder of this section if the details are not included in, or are different from those included in, the notice of appeal. Details of respondent's representation are correct and complete on notice of appeal: Respondent's Representation Solicitor O'Gradys Solicitors Name of firm Email cmacnamara@ogradysolicitors.ie 4th Floor, 8-34 Percy Place, Address Telephone no. (01) 661 3960 Ballsbridge, 179012 Ballsbridge Document Dublin 4 Exchange no. D04 P5K3 Postcode Ref. WFOG/CMACN/OFFA01/0085 How would you prefer us to communicate with you? Document X E-mail Exchange Post Other (please specify) Counsel Edward Farrelly B.L. Name Email edwardfarrelly@eircom.net Address The Law Library, Telephone no. (01) 817 5427 The Four Courts. Document 816543 Dublin Dublin 7. Exchange no. Postcode Counsel Name Email Address Telephone no. Document Exchange no. Postcode If the Respondent is not legally represented please complete the following Current postal address Telephone no. e-mail address How would you prefer us to communicate with you?

E-mail

Other (please specify)

Document Exchange

Post

2. Respondent's reasons for opposing extension of time						
If applicable, set out concisely here the respondent's reasons why an extension of time to the applicant/appellant to apply for leave to appeal to the Supreme Court should be refused						

3. Information about the decision that it is sought to appeal

Set out concisely whether the respondent disputes anything set out in the information provided by the applicant/appellant about the decision that it is sought to appeal (Section 4 of the notice of appeal) and specify the matters in dispute:

4. Respondent's reasons for opposing leave to appeal

If leave to appeal is being contested, set out concisely here the respondent's reasons why:

The decision in respect of which leave to appeal is sought is one that has now been considered by the High Court and Court of Appeal. It does not involve a matter of general public importance being concerned only with the bankrupts desire to set aside Terms of Settlement dated 4th March 2011 on foot of which judgment was entered on the 12th December 2011. The Respondents have, in a number of applications asserted that the Terms of Settlement are void for fraud without substantiating same.

The Supreme Court has found, in Appeal no.344/14 (judgment dated 8th December 2015) that the Bankrupts have no *locus standi* to set aside the judgment of 12th December 2011. The Applicants thereafter, in a High Court motion to the Bankruptcy list have sought an order requiring the Official Assignee to assign the right to conduct the litigation / appeal to them in order to allow them re-litigate this point. This motion is back before the Bankruptcy Court on the 4th April 2016.

The Bankrupts are therefore intent on litigating, what is essentially the same point, again in different Courts notwithstanding the various decisions already made and it is submitted they should not be allowed to do so as it increases the already significant costs for the Estate and the creditors. It is therefore not in the interests of justice that there are yet more hearings on these points.

L	
Ple	Respondent's reasons for opposing appeal if leave to appeal is granted ease list (as 1, 2, 3 etc in sequence) concisely the Respondent's grounds of opposition to e ground(s) of appeal set out in the Appellant's notice of appeal (Section 6 of the notice of peal):
in or of Su	ne Official Assignee did not make submissions in the High Court or in the Court of Apperespect of the application under Section 85(c) of the Bankruptcy Act 1988. This was in der to save on costs to the Estate in circumstances where the petitioning Creditor, the Bank Treland was opposing the matter and no <i>legitimus contradictor</i> was necessary. If the appear the Appear the Official Assignee oppose the Appear but will continue apply adopt the submissions of the Bank of Ireland as to why the bankruptcy should not be unulled unless the Supreme Court prefers that he makes separate submissions.
N: le	ame of counsel or solicitor who settled the grounds of opposition (if the respondent is gally represented), or name of respondent in person:
	dward Farrelly B.L.
1776	IVVAIU I CIII VII V L. i.z.
S	Additional grounds on which decision should be affirmed
S	Additional grounds on which decision should be affirmed
S	Additional grounds on which decision should be affirmed et out here any grounds other than those set out in the decision of the Court of Appeal or igh Court on which the Respondent claims the Supreme Court should affirm the decision
S	Additional grounds on which decision should be affirmed et out here any grounds other than those set out in the decision of the Court of Appeal or igh Court on which the Respondent claims the Supreme Court should affirm the decision
S	Additional grounds on which decision should be affirmed et out here any grounds other than those set out in the decision of the Court of Appeal or igh Court on which the Respondent claims the Supreme Court should affirm the decisior
S	Additional grounds on which decision should be affirmed et out here any grounds other than those set out in the decision of the Court of Appeal or igh Court on which the Respondent claims the Supreme Court should affirm the decision
So H	Additional grounds on which decision should be affirmed et out here any grounds other than those set out in the decision of the Court of Appeal or ligh Court on which the Respondent claims the Supreme Court should affirm the decision to the Court of Appeal or the High Court:
So H	Additional grounds on which decision should be affirmed et out here any grounds other than those set out in the decision of the Court of Appeal or igh Court on which the Respondent claims the Supreme Court should affirm the decision to Court of Appeal or the High Court:
So H th	Additional grounds on which decision should be affirmed et out here any grounds other than those set out in the decision of the Court of Appeal or ligh Court on which the Respondent claims the Supreme Court should affirm the decision to the Court of Appeal or the High Court:
So H the	Additional grounds on which decision should be affirmed et out here any grounds other than those set out in the decision of the Court of Appeal or igh Court on which the Respondent claims the Supreme Court should affirm the decision to Court of Appeal or the High Court:
So H the	Additional grounds on which decision should be affirmed et out here any grounds other than those set out in the decision of the Court of Appeal or igh Court on which the Respondent claims the Supreme Court should affirm the decision to Court of Appeal or the High Court: The court of Appeal or the High Court: The court of Appeal or the High Court of Appeal or the High Court: The court of Appeal or the High Court of Appeal or the High Court of Appeal or the High Court:
A d	Additional grounds on which decision should be affirmed et out here any grounds other than those set out in the decision of the Court of Appeal or ligh Court on which the Respondent claims the Supreme Court should affirm the decision to the High Court: The court of Appeal or the High Court: The court of Appeal or the High Court: The court of Appeal or the High Court to: The court of Appeal or the Supreme Court to:

:

If Yes, please give details below:		
Will you request a priority hearing? If Yes, please give reasons below:	Yes	X No

Signed: (Solicitor for) the respondent

Please submit your completed form to:

The Office of the Registrar to the Supreme Court
The Four Courts
Inns Quay
Dublin

This notice is to be lodged and served on the appellant and each other respondent within 14 days after service of the notice of appeal.