Order 58, rule 15







SUPREME COURT

Application for Leave and Notice of Appeal

For Office use

Supreme Court recor	rd numb	per of this app	eal	2017:166	
Subject matter for in	dexing				
Leave is sought to ap	opeal fro	om			
X The Court of A	Appeal			The High Court	
[Title and record num	nber as	per the High (Court p	proceedings]	
Permanent TSB PLC	and Cl	neldon	V	Jerry Beades	
Property Finance Lir	nited				
High Court Record			Court	of Appeal Record	2014-1219
Nr			Nr		
Date of filing					
Name(s) of Applican	t(s)/Ap	pellant(s) J	erry B	eades	
Solicitors for Applica	ant(s)/A	ppellant(s)	V/A		
Name of Respondent	t(s)	Permanent T	SB PL	C and Cheldon Pro	perty Finance Limited
Respondent's solicite	ors	Donal O'Kel	ly & C	o and Arthur Cox	
Has any appeal (or ap	pplication	on for leave to	appea	l) previously been	lodged in the Supreme
Court in respect of th	e proce	edings?			
X Yes		24		No	
If yes, give [Supreme	e Court]	record number	er(s) 1'	79/14	

Are you applying for an extension of time to apply for leave to appeal?	Yes	X No
If Yes, please explain why		

1. Decision that it is sought to appeal

Name(s) of Judge(s)	President Ryan, Judge Irwin and Judge Whelan
Date of order/ Judgment	13 th November 2017



2. Applicant/Appellant Details

Where there are two or more applicants/appellants by or on whose behalf this notice is being filed please provide relevant details for each of the applicants/appellants

Appellant's	s full name	Jerry Beades		
Original sta	itus	Plaintiff Applicant Prosecutor Petitioner	X Defenda Respond Notice I	dent
Solicitor				
Name of fir	'm			
Email				
Address			Teleph	none no.
			Docun	nent
			Excha	nge no.
Postcode			Ref.	
Docum Post	you prefer us ent Exchange	to communicate	e with you? E-mail Other (please specif	y)
Counsel				
Name				
Email			***************************************	
Address	***		Telephone no. Document Exchange no.	
Postcode			110.	
Counsel		***************************************		
Name Email			***************************************	***************************************
Address			Telephone no.	
			Document Exchange no.	
Postcode				
			epresented please com	
Current post			, Lisburn, Co Antrim, I	3T28 3SP
e-mail address jerrybeades			gmail.com	
Telephone n	0.	0860482374		
	you prefer us ent Exchange	to communicate	with you? E-mail Other (please specify)	

3. Respondent Details

Post

Where there are two or more respondents affected by this application for leave to appeal, please provide relevant details, where known, for each of those respondents

Responde	ent's fu	ıll na	ame	Perman	ent T	SB PLC					
Original s	status	X	Plaintif Applica Prosecu Petition	ant utor		Defendant Respondent Notice Party		Is this pa with this Applicat Yes	Notice ion for	e of	
Solicitor	for Per	man	ent TSE	R DI C	~~~				***************************************		
Name of											
Email	ען וווווו	Ona	i O Ken	iy & Co				~~~~		***************************************	***************************************
Address	G	rand	l Canal	Wharf			Telen	hone no.	(01)	665 85	540
ridaress	G		l Canal	,			Docu		101)		740
Postcode							11.01.			***************************************	
of the following Documents Post	owing ment E					E-mail Other (please	specif	ỳ)			
Counsel	***************************************									***************************************	
Name I	P Keva	ns B	BL	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·							
Email											
			al Whar al Dock,	,		Telephone no. Document Exchange no.	(01)) 665 8540)		
Postcode		***************************************			~~~						
 Counsel								PH-d-f-rod-			
Name	***************************************										***************************************
Email					~~~		***************************************			*******************	
Address	**********				-	Гelephone no.					***************************************
5					Ī	Document Exchange no.					
Postcode											
f the Respondent				ly repres	entec	l please compl	ete the	following	£ >		
-mail addı	ress	****************									
elephone	no.	······					***************************************				***************************************
Has this pa of the follo				ce of doc	ume	nts or commur	nication	n in these	proceed	dings l	oy any
Docun	nent Ex	ccha	nge			E-mail					

Other (please specify)

Where there are two or more respondents affected by this application for leave to appeal, please provide relevant details, where known, for each of those respondents

Respond	lent's fu	all na	ame	Cheldo	n Pro	perty Finance l	Limited	d		***************************************		
Original	status	X	Plainti Applic Prosec Petition	ant utor		Defendant Respondent Notice Party		Is this with the Applic	nis	Notic on fo	e of	
		L	<u> </u>		L			103	1/1		110	
Solicitor	for Ch	eldoı	n Prope	rty Finan	ice L	imited		***************************************				
Name of	firm A	Arthu	r Cox		~**							
Email			***************************************		***************************************		****					
Address	- 1	en,					Telepl	none no	٠.	(01)	920 1	000
	1		ort Ter	race,			Docun					
		Oubli 102 T						nge no.				
Postcode		702 1	. 300		***************************************		Ref.				-	
of the fol	lowing	mea	ns?	vice of do	ocum	ents or commu	nicatio	n in the	ese j	proce	eding	gs by any
	ıment I	exen	ange	-		E-mail		-\		***************************************		
Post	*****************************		A-1]		Other (please	specify	<i>(</i>)				
		***************************************		***************************************								
Counsel	r	····	***************************************									
Name	Mr B (D'Dc	nnell S	SC		***************************************		~~~~				***************************************
Email	/C	·····					1.0.1	000.40				
Address	Ten, Earlsfo	ort Ta	arrooa			Telephone no.	(01)	920 10	<u>00</u>		***************************************	
	Dublin D02 T3	2,	mace,			Document Exchange no.						
Postcode			~			***************************************				***************************************	****************	
Sounsel												
Vame								***************************************				
Smail												
Address					-	Telephone no.						
					i i	Document						
Postcode						Exchange no.			~~~			
osicode	***************************************									***************************************	***************************************	
f the Res	onden	t is n	ot lega	lly repres	ente	d please comple	ete the	followi	ng			
Surrent p		dres	S						vino			
-mail add	dress											
elephone	e no.				***************************************		***************************************			~~~		
las this p	arty ag	reed	to serv	ice of do	eume	ents or commun	ication	in the	se p	rocec	ding	s by any
f the foll	owing 1	near	1s?			T						• •
	ment E	xeha	nge			E-mail						
Post						Other (please s	pecify)			***	

4. Information about the decision that it is sought to appeal

Please set out below:

Whether it is sought to appeal from (a) the entire decision or (b) a part or parts of the decision and if (b) the specific part or parts of the decision concerned

- (a) A concise statement of the facts found by the trial court (in chronological sequence) relevant to the issue(s) identified in Section 5 below and on which you rely (include where relevant if certain facts are contested)
 - (b) In the case where it is sought to appeal in criminal proceedings please provide a concise statement of the facts that are not in dispute

The relevant orders and findings made in the High Court and/or in the Court of Appeal High Court Order made 6th March 2016 and Court of Appeal Order made 13th November 2017

The President:

The core equitable principle which is applicable in this circumstances is that 'statute cannot be used as an engine of fraud'.

What about the case that Mr. Beades seeks to make that the Property is residential. That is not the case he made in his affidavit in the High Court. That is not clear from the documents, in fact, the precise opposite is clear from the documents although it is true, it is true residential"

appears on at least one document, in fact on a number of the documents "residential" does appear, but it was not a residential loan, that much is obvious from the affidavits, from the material before the Court it seems to me, and even from the description by the witness and the report that Mr. Beades wishes to introduce from Mr. Hickey who recognises that it is a commercial loan and it is a commercial property and it is run as a B&B in the manner that he describes.

What of the point about overcharging? Again, not an issue in the High Court and subject as aforesaid. But Mr. Beades' contention does not actually operate to his advantage. Even if he is correct and the Fortis Forensic Accountants are correct, he was still in default in accordance with the terms of the mortgage. His point is, if you assume the correctness of the forensic accountants, if you assume that, and taking that into consideration, you revisit the situation as it would have obtained at the date of the letters of demand that would be that he was ahead of what he should have been paying by some 30,000. But that is retrospective logic and it does not apply because he was in default on the payments under and on foot of the mortgage deed. So in those circumstances, the company was entitled to foreclose, so to speak, it was entitled because an event of default had occurred and the company was entitled to proceed to seek an order for possession in the circumstances. That is not to say that whatever remedies are available for any claim of overcharging if they are available and if it is in time, those are matters that Mr. Beades can ventilate in appropriate circumstances. But it seems to me, therefore, that the appeal cannot succeed even taking into account the extra, the new points that Mr. Beades now seeks to make and which were not previously made.

I think it is desirable because I have a clear view as to the outcome of the case, I think it is desirable that there should be an early disposition of the matter, so rather than put the matter back for a more formal ruling in the form of a written judgment, it seems to me that it is sufficient if I make these remarks and express myself also in agreement with the judgment under appeal that was given by Mr. Justice McGovern.

I should possibly just finally say, that the suggestion canvassed in the Notice of Appeal that because a judge decided another case against a party that means that he or she could be the

subject of a legitimate objection on the basis of bias is unsustainable, so I would accordingly dismiss the appeal.

MS. JUSTICE IRVINE:

For the reasons which the President has outlined in the judgment that he has just given, I would also dismiss the appeal.

MS. JUSTICE WHELAN:

I agree. As the President has pointed out this Court has a very narrow remit in dealing with an appeal such as this. I do note firstly the report of the forensic accountants and clearly, they do appear to raise quite a number of, there may well be significant points.

However, it is not something that can be taken on board at this point and it would be a matter for the Appellant in due course to consider whether there is sufficient to take steps on foot of that report.

I do notice that in paragraph 25 in particular that it appears to be very provisional and that there seems to be a request for further documentation and so on before a final report of that kind could be forthcoming. But, however, on the face of it, it appeared to me to potentially raise issues that may be worthy of further pursuit, it is a matter for the Appellant. With regard to the description of the properties, as the President has pointed out, the description is captured in the mortgage instruments themselves and it appeared to me, when I was reading this over the weekend that the one property that is very definitively, very clearly described as best I could see in the papers, was No. 2. It had, you know, 80 feet to the front, 200 feet from front to rear and 88 at the back. The others are described in a very generic fashion. There may well be potential problems down the line but that is not something this Court can intermeddle would also dismiss the appeal.

I agree. As the President has pointed out this Court has a very narrow remit in dealing with an appeal such as this. I do note firstly the report of the forensic accountants and clearly, they do appear to raise quite a number of, there may well be significant points. However, it is not something that can be taken on board at this point and it would be a matter for the Appellant in due course to consider whether there is sufficient to take steps on foot of that report. I do notice that in paragraph 25 in particular that it appears to be very provisional and that there seems to be a request for further documentation and so on before a final report of that kind could be forthcoming. But, however, on the face of it, it appeared to me to potentially raise issues that may be worthy of further pursuit, it is a matter for the Appellant. With regard to the description of the properties, as the President has pointed out, the description is captured in the mortgage instruments themselves and it appeared to me, when I was reading this over the weekend that the one property that is very definitively, very clearly described as best I could see in the papers, was No. 2. It had, you know, 80 feet to the front, 200 feet from front to rear and 88 at the back. The others are described in a very generic fashion. There may well be potential problems down the line but that is not something this Court can intermeddle with in the sense that, so long as the descriptions in the order made by the judge in the High Court don't go beyond the descriptions in the mortgage instrument, it is not open to this Court to intervene. In my own view I very much agree with the President that the Stepstone case law, that that decision don't really avail. In that case, as I recall, all of the parties agreed right from the start that there had been an error and that the mortgage instrument contained an actual mistake. The bank, everybody, the officials, it was all agreed and additional was a folio and there were a number of bundles or parcels of land in the folio and it was never intended that one of those would be included, it was separate from the rest of the property.

So I think that that case doesn't assist. With regard to the affidavit of verification point,

certainly it would be a cause for concern that a document, from my perspective, that there is no signature on what purports to be an affidavit of verification. I would think that is less than acceptable, but I accept as the President has said that by examining all of the other affidavits, the matter is, as counsel said, it is Ord. 37 r.l has been complied with but not strictly, you know, the affidavit of verification to my mind, I am not satisfied that it is an affidavit at all, but I am satisfied that Ord. 37 r. 1 has been complied with otherwise. Finally the point that was raised, that it is incumbent on this Court to develop the law. Unfortunately, this Court has no function, that is a matter for the legislature and indeed it is true that there is the relevant need in this regard, but it would be wholly inappropriate for this Court to embark on an expedition of making new law and so, accordingly, I agree with the President that the case is not made out.

5. Reasons why the Supreme Court should grant leave to appeal

In the case of an application for leave to appeal to which Article 34.5.3° of the Constitution applies (i.e. where it is sought to appeal from the Court of Appeal)—

Please list (as 1, 2, 3, etc) concisely the reasons in law why the decision sought to be appealed involves a matter of general public importance and / or why in the interests of justice it is necessary that there be an appeal to the Supreme Court

The following reasons are the reasons why an appeal is sought on matters that involve matters for general public importance and exceptional circumstances.

- 1. It is of public importance to establish the circumstances when a due process application should be granted to an Applicant when that Applicant makes a due process Application prior to the commencement of a trial then that application should be granted in the interest of justice and fair procedure for the Applicant particularly when that Applicant is a lay litigant and is unfamiliar with the Court process.
- 2. If evidence is introduced to the trial judge that was not available to the applicant created a bias against the Applicant without the Applicant having an opportunity to challenge the averments made in affidavits prior to the trial of the event.
- 3. If Affidavits relied upon by the Respondents in a case that have not been properly filed in the Central Office can be relied upon in Court and should they be allowed as evidence.
- 4. Can a party that is joined in proceedings at Appeal stage be allowed to defend the appeal in lieu of the original respondent.
- 5. If there is overcharging of the Applicant by the Respondents in a case can that that serve to terminate the contract and furthermore can that party rely on that contract to pursue the a party.
- 6. What criteria should a court apply to a residential business loan that would take it outside of the requirements of the MARPs and consumer code?
- 7. Can a Judge make a finding of fact when there has been no oral evidence regarding

those facts?

- 8. Can a loan that is not in arrears be the subject of proceedings before the circumstance s that allow recovery to have occurred?
- 9. Is it permitted to introduce new evidence by way of referring to condition of a contract not previously considered by the high Court into proceedings?
- 10. Before proceedings commenced does it require that proper notices are served on the borrowers to make those proceedings lawful?
- 11. If an affidavit of verification of debt is not sworn can that be relied upon to seek judgment against a party?
- 12. Should the Court take into account rebuttal evidence that the loan was not in default, so as to obviate that proceedings should have been in the first instance?
- 13. If a court fails to examine an allegation of substantial overcharging established by the forensic accountant's report then is it not acting in the interests of justice and fairness?

6. Ground(s) of appeal which will be relied on if leave to appeal is granted

- 1. When an Applicant makes a due process Application prior to the commencement of a trial then that application should be granted in the interest of justice and fair procedure for the Applicant particularly when that Applicant is a lay litigant and is unfamiliar with the Court process.
- 2. Evidence introduced to the trial judge that was not available to the applicant created a bias against the Applicant without the Applicant having an opportunity to challenge the averments made in affidavits
- 3. Affidavits relied upon by the respondents not properly filed in the Central Office cannot be relied upon in Court and should be not allowed as evidence.
- 4. Can a party that is joined in proceedings at Appeal stage be allowed to defend the appeal in lieu of the original respondent
- 5. If there is overcharging of the Applicant that serves to terminate the contract and that party cannot rely on that contract to pursue the applicant
- 6. What criteria does the court apply to a residential business loan that would take it outside of the requirements of the MARPs and consumer code.
- 7. Can a Judge make a finding of fact when there has been no oral evidence regarding those facts?
- 8. Can a loan that is not in arrears be the subject of proceedings before the circumstances that allow recovery to have occurred
- 9. Is it permitted to introduce new evidence by way of referring to condition of a contract

10 Defense masses discuss commenced described as it was in the
10. Before proceedings commenced does it require that proper notices are served on the borrowers to make those proceedings lawful
11. If an affidavit of verification of debt is not sworn can that be relied upon to seek judgment
12. Should the Court take into account rebuttal evidence that the loan was not in default, so as to obviate that proceedings should have been in the first instance.
13. The Court Of Appeal indicated an Appeal to the Supreme Court on certain issues
14. The Loan was a remortgage and clearing existing loans so in that case maps were required to be furnished for the development of the property which would have illustrated the boundary dispute.
15. The court failed to address the substantial overcharging established by the forensic accountant's report introduced by way of motion and not considered by the court which would have potentially changed the findings of the court.
Name of solicitor or (if counsel retained) counsel or applicant/appellant in person:
Jerry Beades
7. Other relevant information
. Other relevant mildi mation
Neutral citation of the judgment appealed against <i>e.g.</i> Court of Appeal [2015] IECA 1 or High Court [2009] IEHC 608
Neutral citation of the judgment appealed against <i>e.g.</i> Court of Appeal [2015] IECA 1 or High Court [2009] IEHC 608 Don't have
Court [2009] IEHC 608 Don't have
Court [2009] IEHC 608 Don't have References to Law Report in which any relevant judgment is reported
Court [2009] IEHC 608 Don't have
Court [2009] IEHC 608 Don't have References to Law Report in which any relevant judgment is reported Not Applicable
Court [2009] IEHC 608 Don't have References to Law Report in which any relevant judgment is reported
Court [2009] IEHC 608 Don't have References to Law Report in which any relevant judgment is reported Not Applicable 8. Order(s) sought Set out the precise form of order(s) that will be sought from the Supreme Court if leave is granted
Court [2009] IEHC 608 Don't have References to Law Report in which any relevant judgment is reported Not Applicable 8. Order(s) sought Set out the precise form of order(s) that will be sought from the Supreme Court if leave is granted and the appeal is successful:

If a declaration of unconstitutionality is being sought please identify the specific proof the Act of the Oireachtas which it is claimed is/are repugnant to the Constitution	ovision(s)
If a declaration of incompatibility with the European Convention on Human Rights sought please identify the specific statutory provision(s) or rule(s) of law which it is is/are incompatible with the Convention	
Are you asking the Supreme Court to:	
depart from (or distinguish) one of its own decisions? Yes Yes Yes	No
make a reference to the Court of Justice of the European Union? X Yes If Yes, please give details below:	No
If the findings of the Supreme Court are adverse will be Appealing to the necessary of Justice of the European Union	to Court
Will you request a priority hearing? If Yes, please give reasons below:	X No
Signed: Jerry Beades 12 th December 2017	
(Solicitor for) the applicant/appellant	
Please submit your completed form to: The Office of the Registrar of the Supreme Court The Four Courts	

Inns Quay Dublin

together with a certified copy of the Order and the Judgment in respect of which it is sought to appeal.

This notice is to be served within seven days after it has been lodged on all parties directly affected by the application for leave to appeal or appeal.