

also

O. 58, r. 18(1)

SUPREME COURT Respondent's Notice

Supreme Court record	number 20	17/000166				
[/T:',1 1 1 1 1	.1 ***	10	1. 7			
[Title and record numb						
Permanent TSB plc &	Cheldon Propert	ty V	Jerry Beades			
Finance Ltd	M					
Data of Clina	2 1 2010)				
Date of filing	3 January 2018					
Name of respondent	Permanent TSB Plc					
Name of appellant	Donal O'Kelly & Co.					
	Jerry Beades N/A – Appellant is a litigant in person					
Appellant's solicitors	N/A – Appellar	nt is a nugan	: in person			
1. Respondent Details						
-		sta hay ay an a	shace behalf this notice is being filed at-			
provide relevant details			whose behalf this notice is being filed plea			
Respondent's full name		ildelit(s)				
respondent 8 full hame						
The recoondent was car	wad with the an	plication for	leave to appeal and notice of appeal on			
date	ved with the app	pireation for .	cave to appear and notice of appear on			
	vas not formally	served nursi	ant to the Rules of the Superior Courts.			
			pellant's intention to appeal when			
opening up an unaddres	ssed envelope or	n 20 th Decem	ber, 2017 that had been left in the letter			
box at 1 Grand Canal V						
The respondent intends	•					
		xtension of t	ime to apply for leave to appeal			
\						
not to oppose the	application for	an extension	of time to apply for leave to appeal			
		······································				
X to oppose the app	lication for leav	e to appeal				
h						
not to oppose the	application for	leave to appe	al			
<u> </u>		11				
X to ask the Suprem	ne Court to dism	iss the appea	I			
Constant de la consta						
to ask the Suprem	ne Court to affiri	m the decisio	n of the Court of Appeal or the High			
			e decision of the Court of Appeal or the			
High Court			11			
<u> </u>	***************************************					
Other (please spec	cify)	***************************************				

If the details of the respondent's representation are correct and complete on the notice of appeal, tick the following box and leave the remainder of this section blank; otherwise complete the remainder of this section if the details are not included in, or are different from those included in, the notice of appeal.

Solicitor					
Name of fir	rm Donal O'Kelly & Co				
Email	info@donalokellyandco.	ie	····		
Address	1 Grand Canal Wharf,		Telephone no.	(01) 665 8540	
	South Dock Rd,		Document		
	Grand Canal Dock,		Exchange no.		
n . 1	Dublin		D - C		
Postcode			Ref.		
	I you prefer us to communic				
	nent Exchange	X E-mail			
Post		Other (plea	se specify)		
Counsel				Manager and Annual Manager and A	
Name	Patrick Kevans BL				
Email	patrickkevans@hotmail.co	m			
Address I	Distillery Building				
	145-151 Church Street	Document E	xchange 818225		
	Dublin 7	no.			
Postcode					
Counsel					
Name					
Email					
Address	Telephon				
		Document E	it Exchange		
		no.			
Postcode					
If the Resn	ondent is not legally represer	nted please com	plete the following	r	
	stal address	ited predict com		2	
Telephone					
e-mail addr	ess				
How would	l you prefer us to communic	ate with you?			
	-) and Kutaan ma an annument				
Docum	nent Exchange	X E-mail			
Post	-	Other (plea	se specify)		

Details of respondent's representation are correct and complete on notice of appeal:

2. Respondent's reasons for opposing extension of time

3. Information about the decision that it is sought to appeal Set out concisely whether the respondent disputes anything set out in the information provided by the applicant/appellant about the decision that it is sought to appeal (Section 4 of the notice of appeal) and specify the matters in dispute: The First Respondent disputes the information provided by the Appellant, in that it does not correctly reflect the judgement of the Court of Appeal and in any event appears to be merely extracts from the transcript of the Judgement as opposed to a brief synopsis of what transpired in the court hearings. In ease of this Honourable Court, the First Respondent does not intend to restate the factual nexus as presented by the Second Respondent and in that regard, it endorses the Second Respondent's account of the factual issues and the findings of the Court of Appeal in its
Set out concisely whether the respondent disputes anything set out in the information provided by the applicant/appellant about the decision that it is sought to appeal (Section 4 of the notice of appeal) and specify the matters in dispute: The First Respondent disputes the information provided by the Appellant, in that it does not correctly reflect the judgement of the Court of Appeal and in any event appears to be merely extracts from the transcript of the Judgement as opposed to a brief synopsis of what transpired in the court hearings. In ease of this Honourable Court, the First Respondent does not intend to restate the factual nexus as presented by the Second Respondent and in that regard, it endorses the Second Respondent's account of the factual issues and the findings of the Court of Appeal in its
Set out concisely whether the respondent disputes anything set out in the information provided by the applicant/appellant about the decision that it is sought to appeal (Section 4 of the notice of appeal) and specify the matters in dispute: The First Respondent disputes the information provided by the Appellant, in that it does not correctly reflect the judgement of the Court of Appeal and in any event appears to be merely extracts from the transcript of the Judgement as opposed to a brief synopsis of what transpired in the court hearings. In ease of this Honourable Court, the First Respondent does not intend to restate the factual nexus as presented by the Second Respondent and in that regard, it endorses the Second Respondent's account of the factual issues and the findings of the Court of Appeal in its
Set out concisely whether the respondent disputes anything set out in the information provided by the applicant/appellant about the decision that it is sought to appeal (Section 4 of the notice of appeal) and specify the matters in dispute: The First Respondent disputes the information provided by the Appellant, in that it does not correctly reflect the judgement of the Court of Appeal and in any event appears to be merely extracts from the transcript of the Judgement as opposed to a brief synopsis of what transpired in the court hearings. In ease of this Honourable Court, the First Respondent does not intend to restate the factual nexus as presented by the Second Respondent and in that regard, it endorses the Second Respondent's account of the factual issues and the findings of the Court of Appeal in its
Set out concisely whether the respondent disputes anything set out in the information provided by the applicant/appellant about the decision that it is sought to appeal (Section 4 of the notice of appeal) and specify the matters in dispute: The First Respondent disputes the information provided by the Appellant, in that it does not correctly reflect the judgement of the Court of Appeal and in any event appears to be merely extracts from the transcript of the Judgement as opposed to a brief synopsis of what transpired in the court hearings. In ease of this Honourable Court, the First Respondent does not intend to restate the factual nexus as presented by the Second Respondent and in that regard, it endorses the Second Respondent's account of the factual issues and the findings of the Court of Appeal in its
provided by the applicant/appellant about the decision that it is sought to appeal (Section 4 of the notice of appeal) and specify the matters in dispute: The First Respondent disputes the information provided by the Appellant, in that it does not correctly reflect the judgement of the Court of Appeal and in any event appears to be merely extracts from the transcript of the Judgement as opposed to a brief synopsis of what transpired in the court hearings. In ease of this Honourable Court, the First Respondent does not intend to restate the factual nexus as presented by the Second Respondent and in that regard, it endorses the Second Respondent's account of the factual issues and the findings of the Court of Appeal in its
correctly reflect the judgement of the Court of Appeal and in any event appears to be merely extracts from the transcript of the Judgement as opposed to a brief synopsis of what transpired in the court hearings. In ease of this Honourable Court, the First Respondent does not intend to restate the factual nexus as presented by the Second Respondent and in that regard, it endorses the Second Respondent's account of the factual issues and the findings of the Court of Appeal in its
nexus as presented by the Second Respondent and in that regard, it endorses the Second Respondent's account of the factual issues and the findings of the Court of Appeal in its
Respondent's Notice as being a true and accurate reflection of what has occurred to date.

If leave to appeal is being contested, set out concisely here the respondent's reasons why:

In the case of an application for leave to appeal to which Article 34.5.3° of the Constitution applies (i.e. where it is sought to appeal from the Court of Appeal)-

- * the decision in respect of which leave to appeal is sought does not involve a matter of general public importance
- it is not, in the interests of justice, necessary that there be an appeal to the Supreme Court

Note: The First Respondent does not seek to appeal, cross appeal or vary the order in respect of which the application for leave is being made.

The Appellant has raised no issues that involve a matter of general public importance nor is it

in the interests of justice, necessary that there be an appeal to the Supreme Court.
The Supreme Court previously rejected an Article 64.3.3 application by the Appellant by way of determination dated 10 th June, 2016. In particular it stated that: "the Applicant (Mr. Beades) has not demonstrated that the appeal raises legal issues of general public importance in relation to which it could be inferred that it is probable that his appeal will come back to this Court and is unlikely to significantly benefit from an intermediate appeal to the Court of Appeal. Nor has the Applicant demonstrated any other compelling issue that it is in the interests of the administration of justice and the efficient determination of appeals to cancel the Direction so far as it relates to his appeal".
The First Respondent contends that this position has not altered since the hearing of the appeal before the Court of Appeal.
The First Respondent further endorses in full the reasons for opposing leave to appeal as set out in the Second Respondent's Notice as the matters being considered are not of general public importance nor is it in the interests of justice that it is necessary for leave to appeal to be granted by the Supreme Court
5. Respondent's reasons for opposing appeal if leave to appeal is granted
Please list (as 1, 2, 3 etc in sequence) concisely the Respondent's grounds of opposition to the ground(s) of appeal set out in the Appellant's notice of appeal (Section 6 of the notice of appeal):
The First Respondent endorses each and every reason from 1 to 15 for opposing the appeal if leave is granted as set out in the Second Respondent's Notice and restates them in full as its own opposition to the Appeal in the event leave is granted by this Honourable Court.
Name of counsel or solicitor who settled the grounds of opposition (if the respondent is legally represented), or name of respondent in person:
Patrick Kevans B.L.

6. Additional grounds on which decision should be affirmed

Set out here any grounds other than those set out in the decision of the Court of Appeal or High Court on which the Respondent claims the Supreme Court should affirm the decision the Court of Appeal or the High Court: N/A	the 1 of
Are you asking the Supreme Court to:	
depart from (or distinguish) one of its own decisions? Yes Yes Yes)
make a reference to the Court of Justice of the European Union? Yes Yes Yes	No
Will you request a priority hearing? If Yes, please give reasons below:	10
Signed: Company Donal O'Kelly & Company (Solicitor for) the First Respondent Grand Canal Wharf, South Dock Rd, Grand Canal Dock, Dublin	

Please submit your completed form to:

The Office of the Registrar to the Supreme Court The Four Courts Inns Quay Dublin

This notice is to be lodged and served on the appellant and each other respondent within 14 days after service of the notice of appeal.